1 2	RACHAEL E. STEWART, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14122 Law Office of Rachael E. Stewart 400 S. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 500		
3 4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 772-3260 Facsimile: (702) 552-4761		
5	restewartlaw@gmail.com Attorney for Andress Cotero		
		DISTRICT COURT	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) ) CASE NO 2 17 A027 ( DED CWH 1	
10	Plaintiff,	) CASE NO: <b>2:17-cr-00376-RFB-CWH-1</b> ) <b>and</b>	
11	VS.	2:19-cr-000141-RFB-DJA	
12 13	ANDRESS COTERO,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE REVOCATION HEARING	
	Defendants.	(2nd Request)	
14			
15			
16			
17		)	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Allison Reese		
19	Assistant United States Attorney, and Andress Cotero, by and through his attorney, Rachael E.		
20	Stewart, that the Revocation of Supervised Release Hearing currently scheduled for November		
21	30, 2023, at the hour of 8:30 a.m., be continued for a period of at least thirty (30) days, to a date		
22	and time convenient to this Court.		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26			
27			
28	///		
I	1		

1 This stipulation is entered for the following reasons: 2 1. The parties require additional time to prepare for the revocation hearing in this case. Defense Counsel was recently appointed, and Defense Counsel has learned 3 about the related case in the state court jurisdiction that needs to be addressed 4 simultaneously with the current revocation proceedings. The parties are also engaged in negotiations for a potential joint recommendation. 5 2. Defense Counsel has spoken with Assistant United States Attorney Allison 6 Reese, and the Government agrees to the continuance. 7 3. Defense Counsel has spoken with defendant Andress Cotero, who is in custody, 8 and he agrees to the continuance. 9 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay. 10 5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny the parties sufficient time to 11 prepare for the revocation hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 12 13 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. 14 15 This is the second stipulation to continue the revocation hearing in this case. 16 DATED this 22nd day of November, 2023 17 18 Respectfully submitted, 19 /s/ Rachael E. Stewart <u>/s/ Allison Reese</u> Rachael E. Stewart, Esq. 20 Allison Reese, Esq. Counsel for Andress Cotero **Assistant United States Attorney** 21 Counsel for the United States of America 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 2 3 4	RACHAEL E. STEWART, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14122 Law Office of Rachael E. Stewart 400 S. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 772-3260 Facsimile: (702) 552-4761 restewartlaw@gmail.com		
5	Attorney for Andress Cotero		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8		)	
9	LINUTED STATES OF AMERICA	}	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	) CASE NO: 2:17-cr-00376-RFB-CWH-1 2:19-cr-00141-RFB-DJA	
11	N.C.	}	
12	ANDRESS COTERO,	) ORDER TO CONTINUE REVOCATION HEARING	
14	Defendants.	(2nd Request)	
15			
16			
17	FINDIN	NGS OF FACT	
18	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the		
19	Court finds that:		
20	1. The parties require additional	I time to prepare for the revocation hearing in this	
21   22	1. The parties require additional time to prepare for the revocation hearing in the case. Defense Counsel was recently appointed, and Defense Counsel has learn about the related case in the state court jurisdiction that needs to be address simultaneously with the current revocation proceedings. The parties are all engaged in negotiations for a potential joint recommendation.		
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$			
24	engaged in negotiations for a	potentiar forme recommendation.	
25	2. Defense Counsel has spoken with Assistant United States Attorney Allison Reese, and the Government agrees to the continuance.		
26 27	3. Defense Counsel has spoken with defendant Andress Cotero, who is in custody, and he agrees to the continuance.		
28	4. The additional time requested	herein is not sought for purposes of delay.	

- 5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny the parties sufficient time to prepare for the revocation hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

## **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defense, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the defendant sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the revocation hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## <u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Revocation of Supervised Release Hearing scheduled for November 30, 2023, at the hour of 8:30 a.m., be vacated and continued to the January 2, 2024 at 9:15 a.m.

DATED AND DONE this 27th day of November, 2023



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE